



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/046,327	1	0/26/2001	Yves Stricot	680-010627-US(PAR) / C271	2710
2512	7590	03/05/2004		EXAMINER	
PERMAN 425 POST	& GREEN	1	PAK, SUNG H		
FAIRFIELD, CT 06824				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			2874	

DATE MAILED: 03/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		100
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/046,327	STRICOT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sung H. Pak	2874
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) date will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 N     2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ Thi     3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 11-15 and 17-34 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 11-15 and 17-34 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	awn from consideration.	
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examin</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 October 2001 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin</li> </ul>	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in Applicatority documents have been received in Applicatority documents have been received.	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	<b></b>	(070,440)
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [ 3) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2874

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicants' amendment filed 11/13/2003 has been entered. Claims 11-15, 17-34 are now pending. All pending claims have been carefully reconsidered in view of the amendment, however they are still unpatentable. Claims 11-15, 17-33 are rejected based on the prior ground of rejection. Claim 34 is rejected based on a new ground of rejection because claim 34 is a newly added claim containing newly added limitations. Please refer to Remarks for further discussion.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 11-15, 17-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Giboney et al (US 6,318,909 B1) as discussed in the previous office action.

Giboney et al reference discloses an optoelectronic device with all the limitations set forth in the claims, including: a first printed-circuit element, on which is mounted an optical emitter/ receiver ("32" Fig. 1A); having openings to receive centering pins of complementary optical connector ("43" Fig. 1A); the optoelectronic device being provided further with a heatsink, wherein the first printed-circuit element is applied

Art Unit: 2874

against a first face of the heatsink ("30" Fig. 1A and claim 2); wherein a printed-circuit segment connects a first element ("26") to a second printed circuit element ("27"), the second printed circuit element being applied against a second face of the heatsink (Fig. 1A); the first face is a secant relative to the second face, and the flexible printed-circuit segment forms a 90 degree elbow (Fig. 1A); the mechanical support/ heatsink having two receptacles for receiving centering pins (Figs. 1D-1E); wherein the optic receiver is positioned between the holes (Fig. 1A); the second printed-circuit element has microbeads for connection with another device such as a motherboard (Fig. 3A).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

0074

Art Unit: 2874

Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giboney et al (US 6,318,909 B1).

Giboney et al reference discloses an optical device with all the limitations set forth in the claims as discussed above, except it does not explicitly disclose the use of first and second circuit elements that are discontinuous segments. Giboney does teach, however, a flexible printed circuit portion connecting first and second printed circuit element portions (column 7 lines 41-50).

However, the use of separate circuit boards in an optical device packaging is well known in the art. Plurality of separate circuit boards may be advantageously used to separately control each optical device within the packaging. Such arrangement is desirable because separate circuit boards allow modular design, which makes replacement and servicing of optical devices easy. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Giboney device to have separate circuit boards.

#### Remarks

# Response to Arguments:

Starting on page 7 of the applicants' response, it is argued that Giboney reference teaches a single flexible circuit element, and that Giboney reference cannot anticipate the claimed subject matter, because the claims recite first and second printed circuit board elements that are rigid.

011/001110/114d111501: 10/040;02

Art Unit: 2874

The examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicants' characterization of Giboney reference, and maintains the ground of rejection that Giboney reference teaches first and second rigid printed circuit elements.

I. Flexible vs. Rigid Printed Circuit board

On page 8, the applicants argue that the printed circuit board of Giboney reference is flexible or flex-rigid. The examiner respectfully points out that although Giboney reference prefers flexible printed circuit board, it also fully anticipates the use of rigid printed circuit boards. The reference states, "Although rigid circuit boards or circuit boards other than printed circuit boards *can be used*, the printed circuit board 25 is preferably a flexible printed circuit board." (emphasis added) (column 7 lines 31-34). Also, the use of rigid printed circuit board does not teach away from the reference because the reference clearly states that rigid printed circuit board *can be used* instead of the flexible printed circuit boards, albeit the resulting device would not have some of the benefits that flexible circuit boards may provide. Although a flexible printed circuit board is a preferred embodiment, the reference fully anticipates the use of rigid printed circuit board.

II. Single Printed Circuit v. First and Second Printed Circuit Board Elements
On pages 7-8, it is argued that Giboney reference discloses a single printed
circuit board and therefore cannot anticipate first and second printed circuit board
elements.

The examiner respectfully points out that, even though the reference discloses a **continuous** printed circuit board, it is composed of first "26" and second "27" circuit

Art Unit: 2874

board elements that contacts first "30" and second "31" support elements of a *continuous* support structure "29". A component need not necessarily be discontinuous in order for it to constitute multiple elements (The American Heritage Dictionary defines "Element" as "a fundamental, essential or irreducible constituent of a composite entity"). For example, Giboney reference refers to first and second support elements ("30", "31) as distinct "elements" although the support structure is a unitary continuous structure (column 7 lines 6-8). Therefore, Giboney reference fully anticipates first and second printed circuit board elements as applied in the rejection.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,327 Page 7

Art Unit: 2874

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sung H. Pak whose telephone number is (571) 272-2353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday: 6:30am-5:00pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ann

Sung H. Pak Examiner Art Unit 2874

sp

HEMANO SANGUAVI